



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.                           | FILING DATE                              | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR  | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.     | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---|--|-----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|
| 09/883,430                                | 06/18/2001                               | Reiner Maria Jungbult | NI. 000337              | 2789             |
| 24737 75                                  | 590 10/16/2003                           |                       | EXAM                    | INER             |
| PHILIPS INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY & STANDARDS |  |                       | HARPER, HOLLY R         |                  |
| P.O. BOX 3001<br>BRIARCLIFF               | D. BOX 3001<br>SIARCLIFF MANOR, NY 10510 |                       | ART UNIT                | PAPER NUMBER     |
| ,   |  |                       | 2879                    |                  |
|   |  |                       | DATE MAILED: 10/16/2003 |                  |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



|   | Application No.          | Applicant(s)    |  |  |  |  |
|---|--------------------------|-----------------|--|--|--|--|
| Office Action Summan  | 09/883,430               | JUNGBULT ET AL. |  |  |  |  |
| Office Action Summary   | Examin r                 | Art Unit        |  |  |  |  |
|   | Holly R. Harper          | 2879            |  |  |  |  |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app ars on the cover she t with the correspondence address Period for Reply  |                          |                 |  |  |  |  |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status |                          |                 |  |  |  |  |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on  | ·                        |                 |  |  |  |  |
| 2a)☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ Th  | nis action is non-final. |                 |  |  |  |  |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims   |                          |                 |  |  |  |  |
| 4) Claim(s) 1-5 and 7-20 is/are pending in the ap   | oplication.              |                 |  |  |  |  |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  |                          |                 |  |  |  |  |
| 5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>8-20</u> is/are allowed.  |                          |                 |  |  |  |  |
| 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-5 and 7</u> is/are rejected.  |                          |                 |  |  |  |  |
| 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.   |                          |                 |  |  |  |  |
| 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.   |                          |                 |  |  |  |  |
| Application Papers  |                          |                 |  |  |  |  |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  |                          |                 |  |  |  |  |
| 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  |                          |                 |  |  |  |  |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.   |                          |                 |  |  |  |  |
| If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  |                          |                 |  |  |  |  |
| 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.   |                          |                 |  |  |  |  |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120   |                          |                 |  |  |  |  |
| 13)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  |                          |                 |  |  |  |  |
| a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:   |                          |                 |  |  |  |  |
| ·   | a have been received     |                 |  |  |  |  |
| 1. Certified copies of the priority document  |                          | an Na           |  |  |  |  |
| 2. Certified copies of the priority document  |                          |                 |  |  |  |  |
| <ul> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>   |                          |                 |  |  |  |  |
| 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  |                          |                 |  |  |  |  |
| a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.   |                          |                 |  |  |  |  |
| Attachment(s)   |                          |                 |  |  |  |  |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)   |                          |                 |  |  |  |  |

Application/Control Number: 09/883,430

Art Unit: 2879

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

3. Claims 1-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Kazuaki (JP 61029046).

In regard to claim 1, the Kazuaki reference discloses a cathode ray tube with a display screen (Figure 2, Element 4), an electron gun (Figure 2), and a deflection means (Figure 2, Element 5). The Kazuaki reference also discloses a centering cup with a plate containing a central aperture and two outer apertures. The cup has a second part extending in the direction of the display screen. The centering cup has slits, and two bridges between the slits. The slits are aligned parallel to the direction of the magnetic field generated by the deflection means (which can be either the x or y direction).

In regard to claim 2, the Kazuaki reference discloses a plate with the three apertures, and the slits being parallel to the plate (Figure 1).

In regard to claim 3, the Kazuaki reference discloses the length of the slits being at least 50% of the diameter of the centering cup (Figure 1).

In regard to claims 4 and 5, the Kazuaki reference discloses that the centering cup has two parts that are both circular symmetric jackets (Figure 1).

Application/Control Number: 09/883,430

Art Unit: 2879

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claim 7 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kazuaki (JP 61029046).

The applicant fails to identify the use of a slit with a width of .1mm to solve any problem or yield any unexpected result that is not within in the scope of the teachings relied upon. It has been held that where the general conditions of a claim are disclosed in the prior art, discovering the optimum or workable ranges involves only routine skill in the art. Thus it would have been an obvious design choice to one having ordinary skill in the art to provide a slit with a width of .1 mm to the centering cup claimed by the applicant, since optimization of workable ranges is considered within the skill of the art.

#### Allowable Subject Matter

2. Claims 8-20 are allowed.

Regarding claim 8, the references of the Prior Art of record fails to teach or suggest the combination of the limitations as set forth in claim 8, and specifically comprising the limitation that the first bride and second bride are aligned parallel to the

Joseph Williams

Art Unit: 2879

direction of the magnetic field which is defined as horizontally deflecting electron beams across a display screen.

Regarding claims 9-20, claims 9-20 are allowable for the reasons given in claim 8 because of their dependency status from claim 8.

#### Contact Information

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Holly Harper whose telephone number is (703) 305-7908. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 8:30 AM to 5:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nimesh Patel, can be reached on (703) 305-4794. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 308-7382.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

Holly Harper Patent Examiner

Art Unit 2879